

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,655	03/19/2004	Jack B. Smith	03-014	7767
24124 75	590 02/09/2005		EXAM	INER
	THERS & ASSOCIATE	ATES, LLC LEE, JINHEE J		HEE J
PO BOX 17707 PORTLAND, 1	ME 04112-8707		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2831	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Act	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0205			
	s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				
* See the attache	ed detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
Priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119					
11) The oath or de	eclaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
	rawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •			
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
Application Papers						
8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>	are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
6) Claim(s)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
· ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
Disposition of Claims		•				
	ordance with the practice under E	л parte quayre, 1900 С.D. 11, 4				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
2a) This action is	•	action is non-final.	annuallan an ta tha are 1971			
	o communication(s) filed on					
Status						
- Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS for fif the period for reply specific NO period for reply is second for reply within the Any reply received by the	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 om the mailing date of this communication. The cified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply pecified above, the maximum statutory period we set or extended period for reply will, by statute, a Office later than three months after the mailing truent. See 37.CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rs will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>1</u> MONTH	(S) FROM			
Period for Reply	3 DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address			
		Jinhee J. Lee	2831			
Office A	ction Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		10/804,655	SMITH ET AL.			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species a drawn to the system of figures 1 and 3.

Species b drawn to the system of figures 2 and 4.

Species c drawn to the system of figure 5.

Species d drawn to the system of figure 6.

Species e drawn to the system of figure 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. A telephone call was made to Patricia Mathers on 1/28/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jinhee J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1977. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, Th and F at 6:30AM-5PM.

Art Unit: 2831

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jinhee J Lee Patent Examiner Art Unit 2831 Page 4

jjl